



Getting education and exercise right



Dr Christian Barton

La Trobe Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Centre, Melbourne, Australia

Department of Surgery, University of Melbourne, St.Vincent's Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Clinical Director and Physiotherapist, Complete Sports Care, Melbourne, Australia





Sport and Exercise Medicine **Research Centre**





c.barton@latrobe.edu.au



OUTLINE

- Knee, starting with patellofemoral pain
- Pain-related fear (kinesiophobia)
- Tailoring exercise-therapy
- GLA:D
- Running with osteoarthritis
- The importance of combining education and exercise-therapy

















#choosephysio?



Vicious cycle of persistent knee

pain adapted from the *'fear-avoidance model'*



Pain 85 (2000) 317-332

Review article

www.elsevier.nl/locate/pain

PATN

Fear-avoidance and its consequences in chronic musculoskeletal pain: a state of the art

Johan W.S. Vlaeyen^{a,b,*}, Steven J. Linton^c





Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Centre

c.barton@latrobe.edu.au





"When I did get the physiotherapy it kinda didn't really do anything anyway. She did say your knees will feel sore, but it went back to how it was anyway, so, it just seemed like a pointless process." **BMJ Open** The experience of living with patellofemoral pain – loss, confusion and fear-avoidance: a UK qualitative study



Research

Benjamin E Smith,^{1,2} Fiona Moffatt,³ Paul Hendrick,³ Marcus Bateman,¹ Michael Skovdal Rathleff,^{4,5} James Selfe,⁶ Toby O Smith,⁷ Pip Logan²

57% of people with PFP are likely to report unfavourable outcomes 5-8 years after being enrolled in a clinical trial (Lankhorst 2016) "When I started the physio at work and he told me that I shouldn't walk or that I shouldn't swim because he just wanted to obviously manipulate it and get me painfree before I did anything that could possibly aggravate it. So I stopped."







Open Access

BMJ Open The experience of living with patellofemoral pain – loss, confusion and fear-avoidance: a UK qualitative study



Research

Benjamin E Smith,^{1,2} Fiona Moffatt,³ Paul Hendrick,³ Marcus Bateman,¹ Michael Skovdal Rathleff,^{4,5} James Selfe,⁶ Toby O Smith,⁷ Pip Logan²

"My heels have maybe gone in which has then pulled my kneecap out of alignment. So instead of going smoothly over the joint where it's supposed to, that it's probably moving over the bone and that's the sharp pain that I'm feeling."

"I don't know if he [doctor] was trying to scare me into doing some exercise or something, but he basically said the only thing they could do is break both of my thighs and twist them a bit and then heal them back together."

"They're saying that I'm a grandma. They say, 'Yeah. If you were a horse, they'd put you down."





LETTER TO THE EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Letters to the Editor are reviewed and selected for publication based on the relevance, importance, appropriateness, and timeliness of the topic. Please see submission guidelines at www.jospt.org for further information. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2018;48(7):598-599. doi:10.2519/ jospt.2018.0203

NO EVIDENCE EXISTS TO SUPPORT MANUAL THERAPY IN PHYSICAL THERAPY PRACTICE FOR PATELLOFEMORAL PAIN

We read with great interest the recent systematic review published in *JOSPT* titled "Effectiveness of Manual Therapy for Pain and Self-reported Function in Indi-



UNIVERSITY

Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Centre

Pain: Manual Therapy Versus Sham/Control at 2 to 6 Weeks

Study		SMD Estimate (95% CI)
van den Dolder and Roberts ⁷³ (2 wk)	-0.283 (-0.936, 0.371)	
Collins et al ¹³ (6 wk)	-0.769 (-1.221, -0.318)	
Crossley et al ¹⁴ (6 wk)	-0.727 (-1.222, -0.233)	
Rowlands and Brantingham ⁵⁸ (4 wk)	-0.500 (-1.227, 0.227)	
Hains and Hains ²⁹ (4 wk)	-0.500 (-1.210, 0.210)	
Total*	-0.614 (-0.871, -0.358)	
		-1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; SMD, standardized mean difference. *Overall: $I^2 = 0\%$, P = .766.



Favors manual therapy

Favors sham/contro





Open Access

BMJ Open The experience of living with patellofemoral pain – loss, confusion and fear-avoidance: a UK qualitative study

> Benjamin E Smith,^{1,2} Fiona Moffatt,³ Paul Hendrick,³ Marcus Bateman,¹ Michael Skovdal Rathleff,^{4,5} James Selfe,⁶ Toby O Smith,⁷ Pip Logan²

"I just hate it. Do an operation. Get rid of it. In my head, and obviously not being from the medical profession, but I'm just like, 'Just get rid of the pain however it can be done."

ROBE

UNIVERSITY



Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Centre

"I've missed out of things over the years, spending time with friends, spending time with family and that kind of thing, because I've not been able to do it."





c.barton@latrobe.edu.au

Research





Observational study

Liam R. Maclachlan, Mark Matthews, Paul W. Hodges, Natalie J. Collins and Bill Vicenzino* The psychological features of patellofemoral pain: a cross-sectional study

 Those with more-severe PFP-related disability have higher levels of psychological impairment (kinesiophobia, catastrophising, anxiety and depression)

Kinesiophobia (pain-related fear)

- Elevated in the PFP group
- Differs between high and low disability subgroups
- Helps explain level of disability









25

30

35

@DrChrisBarton

Kinesiophobia (TAMPA)

c.barton@latrobe.edu.au

40

45

50



Meet Frankie









Home Understand your pain Treatment options Exercise program Patient stories

Manage my knee

LA TROB

This information portal is H with input from patients a <u>Medicine Research Centr</u> Diagnosis Why does it hurt? How much pain is Ok?

How common is knee cap pain?

When will my pain stop?

Fear of movement

Knee crepitus

Manage your exercise load to manage your pain



ge your knee cap pain, and has been developed <u>.a Trobe University's Sport and Exercise</u> :e University (UNESP).

Are you a runner?

The information is not interneed to replace consultation with a physiotherapist or doctor.





Addressing pain-related fear

NO DIFFERENCES BETWEEN KNEE CAP PAIN AND HEALTHY KNEES ON MRI OR X-RAY!





TAKE HOME MESSAGE

Scans can be costly and may not assist health professionals to reach a diagnosis or treat knee cap pain better

FOR MORE INFORMATION READ:

HTTPS://WWW.NCBI.NLM.NIH.GOV/PUBMED/26471209

HTTPS://WWW.NCBI.NLM.NIH.GOV/PUBMED/27206691









Proximal muscle rehabilitation is effective for patellofemoral pain: a systematic review with meta-analysis

Simon Lack,¹ Christian Barton,^{1,2,3,4} Oliver Sohan,¹ Kay Crossley,⁵ Dylan Morrissey^{1,6}

Re

Review

•

How can we implement exercise therapy for patellofemoral pain if we don't know what was prescribed? A systematic review

Sinead Holden, ^{1,2} Michael Skovdal Rathleff, ^{1,3} Martin Bach Jensen, ¹ Christian J Barton⁴

Hip and knee focused exercise seems to help

Hip targeted more beneficial in short term



ROBE



Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Centre



What prescription principles?









What is exercise?



Physical activity "Beneficial for everybody"

- Pain-related fear
- Neuromuscular
- Functional
- Endurance
- Strength
- Power
- Flexibility
- Aerobic



Exercise-therapy







Proximal muscle rehabilitation is effective for patellofemoral pain: a systematic review with meta-analysis

Simon Lack,¹ Christian Barton,^{1,2,3,4} Oliver Sohan,¹ Kay Crossley,⁵ Dylan Morrissey^{1,6}

Type of exercise reported according to studies' titles





Neuromuscular Strength Endurance



Sport and Exercise Medicine **Research Centre**





Power

c.barton@latrobe.edu.au









Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Centre c.barton@latrobe.edu.au

@DrChrisBarton

3

Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport 21 (2018) 123–128 Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport

Original research

Hip rate of force development and strength are impaired in females with patellofemoral pain without signs of altered gluteus medius and maximus morphology

Guilherme S. Nunes ^{a,b,*}, Christian John Barton ^b, Fábio Viadanna Serrão ^a ¹ Department of Physioherapy, São Carlos Federal University, Brazil ⁸ Sport and Exercite Medicine Research Centre, School of Allied Health, La Trobe University, Australia

CrossMark Hip extensor rate of force development 200 Control Group 90% 67% PFP Group 160 % Max 60% Torque (%BM) 120 80 55% 30% 40 ,51%

1200

1600





Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Centre

400

800

0

c.barton@latrobe.edu.au



t (ms)

2000



Check for updates

Original Research







Guilherme S. Nunes ^{a, b, *}, Danilo de Oliveira Silva ^{a, c}, Tania Pizzari ^a, Fábio Viadanna Serrão ^b, Kay M. Crossley ^a, Christian John Barton ^{a, d}









Original Research

Clinically measured hip muscle capacity deficits in people with patellofemoral pain





Guilherme S. Nunes ^{a, b, *}, Danilo de Oliveira Silva ^{a, c}, Tania Pizzari ^a, Fábio Viadanna Serrão ^b, Kay M. Crossley ^a, Christian John Barton ^{a, d}

	PFP group	Control group	Mean difference	р	Effect siz	e (95%	CI) and % (of difference*
	(n=16)	(n=16)	(95% CI)	value	-0.7 -0.3	0.1	0.5 0.9	1.3 1.7
Strength (%BM)						1		
Isometric – hip abductors	117.9 (23.4)	149.9 (38.7)	32.0 (8.9 to 55.1)	<0.01				
Isometric – hip extensors	82.3 (33.1)	110.3 (31.0)	28.0 (4.9 to 51.1)	0.02	.15-25%			→ 25%
10 RM – hip abductors	53.1 (13.9)	62.1 (10.3)	9.0 (0.2 to 17.9)	0.05		·		→ 15%
10 RM – hip extensors	58.2 (14.7)	70.8 (14.1)	12.6 (2.2 to 23.0)	0.02				→ 18%
Endurance								
Hip abductors (log-transf)	1.7 (0.2)	1.9 (0.3)	0.2 (-0.01 to 0.3)	0.06	<i>1 2-8%</i>			8%
Hip extensors (log-transf)	1.9 (0.3)	2.0 (0.3)	0.1 (-0.2 to 0.2)	0.71			2%	
Bridge (minutes)	1.1 (0.5)	1.1 (0.3)	0.1 (-0.3 to 0.3)	0.88		+	2%	



Physica Therapy i Sport

Check for updates





Original Research

Clinically measured hip muscle capacity deficits in people with patellofemoral pain





Guilherme S. Nunes ^{a, b, *}, Danilo de Oliveira Silva ^{a, c}, Tania Pizzari ^a, Fábio Viadanna Serrão ^b, Kay M. Crossley ^a, Christian John Barton ^{a, d}

	PFP group	Control group	Mean difference	р	Effect siz	ze (95%	% CI) and %	of difference*
	(n=16)	(n=16)	(95% CI)	value	-0.7 -0.3	0.1	0.5 0.9	1.3 1.7
Strength (%BM)								
Isometric – hip abductors	117.9 (23.4)	149.9 (38.7)	32.0 (8.9 to 55.1)	<0.01		⊢ ⊢		
Isometric – hip extensors	82.3 (33.1)	110.3 (31.0)	28.0 (4.9 to 51.1)	0.02	15-25%			
10 RM – hip abductors	53.1 (13.9)	62.1 (10.3)	9.0 (0.2 to 17.9)	0.05		·		
10 RM – hip extensors	58.2 (14.7)	70.8 (14.1)	12.6 (2.2 to 23.0)	0.02				—— 18%
Endurance								
Hip abductors (log-transf)	1.7 (0.2)	1.9 (0.3)	0.2 (-0.01 to 0.3)	0.06	↓ 2-8%			8%
Hip extensors (log-transf)	1.9 (0.3)	2.0 (0.3)	0.1 (-0.2 to 0.2)	0.71			2%	
Bridge (minutes)	1.1 (0.5)	1.1 (0.3)	0.1 (-0.3 to 0.3)	0.88			2%	
Power (W/kg)								
Squat	14.2 (4.0)	18.6 (5.4)	4.4 (1.0 to 7.8)	0.01	24-31%	⊢ ⊢	I	— <u></u> 24%
Hip abduction	1.9 (0.8)	2.6 (0.9)	0.8 (0.2 to 1.4)	0.02		I		31%
Hip extensors	2.9 (1.2)	4.1 (1.3)	1.2 (0.3 to 2.1)	0.01		F		



Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Centre

Physics Therapy i Sport

Check for updates













Addressing muscle power?

1. Feasibility of a 12-week progressive resistance training program targeting proximal muscle strength and power

2. Clinical outcomes and changes in hip strength and power







Exercise program



GUIDANCE RELATED TO PAIN MONITORING

- 12-week (3 x per week)
- 3-5 exercises targeting hip and trunk and tailored to individual
- 5-8 physiotherapy consultations (exercise only)







The muscles ability to move against resistance

Greater resistance is needed for about 8-12 repetitions in a slower controlled manner

Generally the rest time is about 2-3 minutes between sets



LA TROBE

How quickly a given load can be moved or force generated

Exercise against heavy resistance in an explosive manner for a low number of repetitions and 3-6 sets

An extended rest (3-5 minutes) is often needed to fully recover

EXERCISE PRESCRIPTION







Original Research

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Physical Therapy in Sport

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/ptsp



A proximal progressive resistance training program targeting strength and power is feasible in people with patellofemoral pain Christian J. Barton ^{a, b, *}, Danilo de Oliveira Silva ^{a, c}, Brooke E. Patterson ^a, Kay M. Crossley ^a, Tania Pizzari ^a, Guilherme S. Nunes ^{a, d}

	All sample (n = 11)	Men (n = 5)	Women $(n = 6)$
Age (y)	33 (10)	35 (9)	32 (11)
Height (m)	1.69 (0.13)	1.80 (0.05)	1.59 (0.09)
Body Mass (kg)	66 (16)	79 (9)	56 (12)
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.0 (3.0)	24.3 (1.5)	21.9 (3.6)

- 1 Adverse outcome (pain flare) – settled within 1 week
- Typically progressed well (strength 3-5 weeks; power 4-8 weeks)





Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Centre

Check for updates





Check for updates

A proximal progressive resistance training program targeting strength and power is feasible in people with patellofemoral pain

Christian J. Barton ^{a, b, *}, Danilo de Oliveira Silva ^{a, c}, Brooke E. Patterson ^a, Kay M. Crossley ^a, Tania Pizzari ^a, Guilherme S. Nunes ^{a, d}



	Pre	Post	Mean difference	Effect size (95%CI)
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	(95%CI)	Favours improvement Favours worsening
Isometric strength			(*****	
Hip abduction	123 (20)	136 (31)	-13 (-26; -1)*	- • • • •
Hip extension	83 (34)	96 (34)	-13 (-28; 1)	• • •
10 Repetition Maximu	ım			
Hip abduction	54 (14)	72 (12)	- 19 (- 25; - 12)*	••
Hip extension	55 (15)	74 (7)	- 19 (- 28; - 10)*	••
Power				
Hip abduction	2.0 (0.9)	2.5 (1.2)	-0.6 (-1.1; -0.1)*	••
Hip extension	3.0 (1.4)	3.6 (1.2)	-0.65 (-1.3; 0.00)*	• • • • • • •

	Pre	Post	Mean difference	Effect size (95%CI)
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	(95%CI)	-4 Favours improvement Favours worsening 2
Worst pain last week	5.7 (1.57)	1.0 (1.3)	4.7 (3.7; 5.7)*	• • •
AKPS	76 (12)	90 (9)	-14 (-20; -8)*	• • • •
KOOS-PF	74 (18)	89 (10)	-15 (-24; -5)*	••
Kinesiophobia	34 (8)	29 (6)	5 (-1; 10)	• • •
Physical activity level	3,567 (5,092)	5,944 (5,955)	-2,376 (-6,606; 1,853)	• • •



Global scale of perceived recovery





Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Centre

c.barton@latrobe.edu.au@DrChrisBarton

TAKE HOMES, for now



1. Address pain-related fear (education is powerful)

2. Prescribing strength and power is feasible

3. Moderate-large improvements in strength and power

4. Associated with large improvements in pain and function





associate



Bridging the gap related to the principles of exercise prescription in clinical practice

Exercise therapy, including resistance and aerobic exercise is included in most clinical practice guidelines.











Back to Rhys











Pain 85 (2000) 317-332

Review article

a state of the art Johan W.S. Vlaeyen^{a,b,*}, Steven J. Linton^c

Address the vicious cycle www.elsevier.nl/locate/nai of persistent knee pain Fear-avoidance and its consequences in chronic musculoskeletal pain:







Sport and Exercise Medicine **Research Centre**

c.barton@latrobe.edu.au





Knee Osteoarthritis briefly









Kovar 1992 Weidenheim 1993 Barjesson 1996 Baluch 1997 Etinger-ac 1997 Regind 1998 Maurer 1999 Maurer 1999 Holdsmann 2000 Petrelial 2000 Transen-gr 2001 Transen-gr 2001 Transen-gr 2001 Transen-gr 2001 Transen-gr 2001 Gord 0.55 (0.37.0 Oper 2002 0.59 (0.38.0 Topp 2003 Veclearthy 2004 Weclearthy 2004 Wesier - ext 2004 <td< th=""><th>Author</th><th>Publication</th><th>Control is better</th><th>Exercise is</th><th>better</th></td<>	Author	Publication	Control is better	Exercise is	better
Weidenheim 1993 Bardenson 1996 Schilke 1996 Bautch 1997 Ettinger -re 1997 Regind 1998 Maurer 1999 Péloquin 1999 Péloquin 1999 Norstmann 2000 Jaker 2001 Transen-gr 2001 Transen-gr 2001 Transen-gr 2002 Topp 2002 Topp 2002 Topp 2003 Keefe 2004 WcCanthy 2004 WcCanthy 2004 WcCasthy 2004 WcCasthy 2004 WcCasthy 2005 Thorstenson 2005 BMJ 0.44 (0.32, 0 BMJ 0.44 (0.32, 0 BMJ 0.44 (0.32, 0 BMJ 0.45 (0.36, 0 BMJ 0.44 (0.32, 0 BMJ 0.45 (0.36, 0 BMJ 0.45 (0.32, 0 BMJ 0.45 (0.32, 0	Kovar	1992			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Barjesson 1996 Bautoh 1997 Ettinger - ae 1997 Ettinger - ae 1997 Naurer 1999 Péloquin 1999 Péloquin 1999 Peloquin 2000 Baker 2001 Gransen-gr 2001 Tarlbot 2003 Huang-a 2003 Geefe 2004 Wessier - ex+d 2004 Wessier - ex+d 2004 Wessier - ex+d 2004 Wessier - ex+d 2004 BMJ BMJ BMJ BMJ BMJ BMJ BMJ BMJ	Weidenhielm	1993	—	•	- 0.36 (-0.25,
Schilke 1996 Balutch 1997 Ettinger -ae 1997 Regind 1998 Maurer 1999 Horstmann 2000 Baker 2001 Gaker 2001 Fransen-gr 2001 Gaker 2003 Vicarity 2003 Keefe 2004 Cheing 2004 VicCarthy 2004 Wessier - ext 0.44 (0.31, 0 Wessier - ext 2004 Wessier - ext 2004 <td>Børjesson</td> <td>1996</td> <td>•</td> <td>• • · · ·</td> <td>0.34 (-0.03,'0.</td>	Børjesson	1996	•	• • · · ·	0.34 (-0.03,'0.
Bautch 1997 Ettinger -et 1997 Ragind 1998 Maurer 1999 Péloquin 1999 Péloquin 1999 Petrella 2000 Petrella 2000 Baker 2001 Gir 2002 Tabot 2003 Tabot 2003 Tabot 2003 Tabot 2003 Cheing 2004 Wecarthy 2004 Wessier - ex 2004 Chessier - ex 2004 Wessier - ex 2004 Muang-b 2005 Rooks 2005 BMJJ BMJJ BMJJ BMJJ BMJJ BMJ Exercise for lower limb osteoarthritis: systematic review incorporating trial sequential analysis and BC 1015 1 CF 10210 CF 102100 CF 1	Schilke	1996		• · · · ·	• 0.49 (0.01, 0.9
Etinger -ae 1997 Regind 1998 Maurer 1999 Petrella 2000 Potstmann 2000 Petrella 2000 Gor 2002 Gar 2002 Tabot 2003 Tabot 2003 Tabot 2003 Tabot 2003 Ceefe - CST 2004 Keefe - CST 200	Bautch	1997			 0.57 (0.15, 1.0
Etinger-rt 1997 Regind 1998 Maurer 1999 Péloquin 1999 Nostmann 2000 Baker 2001 Gransen-gr 2001 Gransen-gr 2001 Gar 2002 Gar 2002 Talbot 2003 Huarg-a 2004 Keefe-CST 2004 Wessier - ex 2004 Wessier - ex+d 2004 RESEARCH 0.45 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0	Ettinger -ae	1997		-	0.51 (0.21, 0.
Ragind 1998 0.49 (0.25, 0) Waurer 1999 0.44 (0.23, 0) Péloquin 1999 0.44 (0.23, 0) Petrella 2000 0.44 (0.23, 0) Baker 2001 0.49 (0.25, 0) Gur 2000 0.49 (0.25, 0) Ogar 2001 0.49 (0.25, 0) Gur 2002 0.55 (0.38, 0) Talbot 2003 0.55 (0.37, 0) Vicanthy 2004 0.55 (0.36, 0) Cefe 2004 0.55 (0.36, 0) Cheing 2004 0.55 (0.36, 0) VicCarthy 2004 0.55 (0.36, 0) Vissier - ex+d 2004 0.55 (0.38, 0) Wessier - ex+d 2004 0.55 (0.38, 0) Wessier - ex+d 2004 0.55 (0.38, 0) BMJ 0.55 (0.38, 0) 0.46 (0.30, 0) BMJ 0.46 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.28, 0) BMJ 0.46 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) BMJ 0.46 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) BMJ 0.46 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) BMJ 0.46 (0.31, 0) <	Ettinger -rt	1997			0.46 (0.22, 0.
Maurer 1999 Péloquin 1999 Horstmann 2000 Baker 2001 Fransen-gr 2001 Fransen-gr 2001 Gar 2002 Talbot 2003 Vuang-a 2003 Gefe-CST 2004 Keefe-CST 2004 MecCarthy 2004 VecSarity 2004 VecSarity 2004 VecSarity 2004 VecSarity 2004 VecSarity 2004 Vasserifet 2005 BMJ 045 (0.31, 0	Røgind	1998		_	0.49 (0.25, 0.
Péloquin 1999 Horstmann 2000 Petrella 2000 Baker 2001 Fransen-gr 2001 Gür 2002 Talbot 2003 Huang-a 2003 Keefe - CST 2004 Keefe 2004 Cheing 2004 ViC Carthy 2004 Vissier - ex 2004 Vissier - e	Maurer	1999			0.44 (0.23, 0.
Horstmann 2000 Patrella 2000 Baker 2001 Fransen-gr 2001 Gar 2002 Topp 2002 Talbot 2003 Keefe-CST 2004 Vecarthy 2004 Wessier - ex. 2004 BMJ 0.45 (0.29, 0 BMJ 0.45 (0.30, 0 BMJ 0.48 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 <tr< td=""><td>Péloquin</td><td>1999</td><td></td><td>→</td><td>0.43 (0.25, 0.</td></tr<>	Péloquin	1999		→	0.43 (0.25, 0.
Petrella 2000 Baker 2001 Fransen-gr 2001 Gür 2002 Topp 2003 Huang-a 2004 Keefe 2004 Keefe 2004 Keefe 2004 Keefe 2004 Keefe 2004 VBCsarthy 2004 Wcssier - ex 2004 VBcsier - ex+d 2004	Horstmann	2000		→	0.49 (0.29, 0.
Baker 2001 Fransen-gr 2001 Gur 2002 Topp 2003 Huang-a 2003 Keefe-CST 2004 Cheing 2004 WcSarthy 2004 Wessier - ext d 2004 Huang-b 2005 Thorstensson 2005 BMJ 0.45 (0.29, 0 BMJ 0.46 (0.31, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.32, 0 0.44 (0.32, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.32, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0	Petrella	2000		_	0.48 (0.30, 0.
Frame-gr 2001 0.50 (0.35, 0) Gur 2002 0.59 (0.38, 0) Topp 2002 0.59 (0.38, 0) Talbot 2003 0.55 (0.37, 0) Keefe-CST 2004 0.55 (0.37, 0) Keefe 2004 0.55 (0.36, 0) Cheing 2004 0.52 (0.34, 0) WcCarthy 2004 0.52 (0.34, 0) Messier - ex 2004 0.52 (0.34, 0) Sesemffet 2004 0.47 (0.30, 0) Huang-b 2005 0.43 (0.29, 0) Thorstensson 2005 0.43 (0.28, 0) Restar 0.43 (0.28, 0) 0.44 (0.29, 0) BMJ 0.46 (0.31, 0) 0.46 (0.32, 0) BMJ 0.46 (0.32, 0) 0.46 (0.32, 0) Exercise for lower limb osteoarthritis: systematic 0.45 (0.33, 0) review incorporating trial sequential analysis and 0.43 (0.30, 0)	Baker	2001			0.49 (0.32, 0.
Gur 2002 0.59 (0.38, 0) Topp 2002 0.57 (0.38, 0) Talbot 2003 0.57 (0.38, 0) Huang-a 2003 0.55 (0.37, 0) Keefe 2004 0.55 (0.37, 0) Cheing 2004 0.55 (0.36, 0) McCarthy 2004 0.52 (0.34, 0) McSarthy 2004 0.52 (0.34, 0) Wessier - ext-d 2004 0.47 (0.30, 0) Ressier - ext-d 2004 0.47 (0.30, 0) Huang-b 2005 0.45 (0.29, 0) Rooks 2006 0.43 (0.28, 0) BMJJ 0.44 (0.29, 0) 0.44 (0.29, 0) RESEARCH 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.46 (0.32, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.32, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) BMJ Exercise for lower limb osteoarthritis: systematic 0.45 (0.33, 0) review incorporating trial sequential analysis and 0.43 (0.32, 0)	Fransen-gr	2001		→	0.50 (0.35, 0.
Topp 2002 Talbot 2003 Huang-a 2003 Keefe-CST 2004 Cheing 2004 McCarthy 2004 Messier - ex 2004 Wessier - ex+d 2004 Rester - ex+d 2004 Nessier - ex+d 2004 Nooks 2005 BMJJ 0.45 (0.29, 0 BMJ 0.45 (0.29, 0 0.43 (0.28, 0 0.44 (0.29, 0 0.44 (0.29, 0 0.44 (0.29, 0 0.44 (0.29, 0 0.45 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.21, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 <td< td=""><td>Gür</td><td>2002</td><td></td><td>→</td><td>0.59 (0.38, 0.</td></td<>	Gür	2002		→	0.59 (0.38, 0.
Talbot 2003 0.55 (0.37, 0 Huang-a 2003 0.58 (0.40, 0 Keefe 2004 0.54 (0.36, 0 Cheing 2004 0.52 (0.34, 0 McCarthy 2004 0.52 (0.34, 0 McSartry 2004 0.52 (0.34, 0 McSartry 2004 0.52 (0.34, 0 McSartry 2004 0.52 (0.34, 0 Messier - ex 2004 0.47 (0.30, 0 Messier - ex+d 2004 0.47 (0.30, 0 tuang-b 2005 0.43 (0.28, 0 Thorstensson 2005 0.43 (0.28, 0 Rooks 2006 0.44 (0.29, 0 BMJ 0.45 (0.30, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.44 (0.21, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 <td>Торр</td> <td>2002</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.57 (0.38, 0.</td>	Торр	2002			0.57 (0.38, 0.
Huang-a 2003 Keefe-CST 2004 Cheing 2004 McCarthy 2004 McSsier - ex 2004 Messier - ex+d 2004 Messier - ex+d 2004 Ressier - ex+d 2004 Mussier - ex+d 2004 Mussier - ex+d 2004 Rosemffet 2005 Thorstensson 2005 Rooks 2006 BMJ 0.43 (0.28, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.32, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0	Talbot	2003			0.55 (0.37, 0.
Keefe-CST 2004 0.55 (0.36, 0) Keefe 2004 0.54 (0.36, 0) Cheing 2004 0.51 (0.34, 0) McCarthy 2004 0.51 (0.34, 0) Messier - ex + d 2004 0.47 (0.30, 0) Rosemffet 2004 0.47 (0.30, 0) Huarg-b 2005 0.45 (0.29, 0) Thorstensson 2005 0.43 (0.28, 0) Rooks 2006 0.44 (0.29, 0) BMJJ 0.46 (0.31, 0) 0.46 (0.32, 0) BMJ 0.46 (0.31, 0) 0.46 (0.32, 0) BMJ 0.46 (0.31, 0) 0.46 (0.32, 0) BMJ 0.43 (0.28, 0) 0.44 (0.21, 0) Certifier 0.43 (0.28, 0) 0.46 (0.32, 0) 0.44 (0.21, 0) 0.46 (0.32, 0) 0.46 (0.32, 0) 0.44 (0.23, 0) 0.44 (0.23, 0) 0.46 (0.32, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.46 (0.32, 0) 0.46 (0.32, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0)	Huang-a	2003		→	0.58 (0.40, 0.
Keefe 2004 0.54 (0.36, 0) Cheing 2004 0.52 (0.34, 0) McCarthy 2004 0.52 (0.34, 0) Messier - ex 2004 0.47 (0.30, 0) Messier - ex+d 2004 0.47 (0.30, 0) Messier - ex+d 2004 0.47 (0.30, 0) Huang-b 2005 0.43 (0.28, 0) Thorstensson 2005 0.43 (0.28, 0) BMJ 0.46 (0.32, 0) 0.44 (0.29, 0) BMJ 0.46 (0.32, 0) 0.46 (0.32, 0) BMJ 2013.347.35555 doi: 10.1136/bm/.15555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.45 (0.31, 0) BMJ 2013.347.35555 doi: 10.1136/bm/.15555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.45 (0.32, 0) Exercise for lower limb osteoarthritis: systematic 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) BMJ 2013.47.35555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0)	Keefe-CST	2004		→	0.55 (0.36, 0
Cheing 2004 0.52 (0.34, 0 McCarthy 2004 0.51 (0.34, 0 Messier - ex 2004 0.47 (0.30, 0 Messier - ex+d 2004 0.46 (0.30, 0 Rosemffet 2005 0.43 (0.28, 0 Thorstensson 2005 0.43 (0.28, 0 Rooks 2006 0.43 (0.28, 0 BMJ 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.44 (0.29, 0 0.45 (0.30, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.45 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.45 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0	Keefe	2004		→	0.54 (0.36, 0
McCarthy 2004 0.51 (0.34, 0 Messier - ex 2004 0.47 (0.30, 0 Messier - ex+d 2004 0.45 (0.29, 0 Rosemffet 2004 0.45 (0.29, 0 Huang-b 2005 0.43 (0.28, 0 Rooks 2006 0.44 (0.29, 0 BMJ Image: Constant of the second of the	Cheing	2004			0.52 (0.34, 0
Messier - ex 2004 0.47 (0.30, 0 Messier - ex+d 2004 0.46 (0.30, 0 Rosemfet 2004 0.45 (0.29, 0 Huang-b 2005 0.43 (0.28, 0 Rooks 2006 0.44 (0.29, 0 BMJ 0.66 (0.31, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.44 (0.29, 0 0.45 (0.30, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 Exercise for lower limb osteoarthritis: systematic review incorporating trial sequential analysis and 0.45 (0.33, 0	McCarthy	2004			0.51 (0.34, 0
Messier - ex+d 2004 0.46 (0.30, 0 Rosemffet 2005 0.45 (0.29, 0 Thorstensson 2005 0.43 (0.28, 0 Rooks 2006 0.43 (0.28, 0 BMJ Image: Constraints 0.46 (0.30, 0 BMJ Image: Constraints 0.44 (0.29, 0 BMJ Image: Constraints 0.46 (0.32, 0 BMJ Image: Constraints 0.44 (0.32, 0 BMJ Image: Constraints 0.44 (0.32, 0 Image: Constraints 0.44 (0.32, 0 0.44 (0.32, 0 Image: Constraints 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 Image: Constraints 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 Image: Constraints 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.44 (0.32, 0 Image: Constraints 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 Image: Constraints 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 Image: Constraints 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 Image: Constraints 0.45 (0.33, 0	Messier - ex	2004		_	0.47 (0.30, 0
Rosemfet 2004 0.45 (0.29, 0 Huang-b 2005 0.43 (0.28, 0 Norstensson 2006 0.43 (0.28, 0 BMJ Image: Constraint of the second of the s	Messier - ex+d	2004			0.46 (0.30, 0.
Huang-b 2005 0.45 (0.29, 0 Thorstensson 2005 0.43 (0.28, 0 Rooks 2006 0.43 (0.28, 0 BMJ 0.05 0.44 (0.29, 0 BMJ 0.46 (0.31, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 BMJ 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 BMJ 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.44 (0.23, 0 BMJ 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 BMJ 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 Exercise for lower limb osteoarthritis: systematic 0.45 (0.33, 0 review incorporating trial sequential analysis and 0.43 (0.32, 0	Rosemffet	2004		← _	0.45 (0.29, 0.
Thorstensson 2005 Rooks 2006 BMJ Image: Constraint of the second s	Huang-b	2005		→	0.45 (0.29, 0.
Rooks 2006 0.43 (0.28, 0 0.44 (0.29, 0 0.44 (0.29, 0 0.45 (0.30, 0 0.46 (0.31, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45	Thorstensson	2005			0.43 (0.28, 0.
BMJ 0.44 (0.29, 0) BMJ 0.45 (0.30, 0) BMJ 2013;347:5555 doi: 10.1136/bmj.45555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.46 (0.32, 0) BMJ 2013;347:5555 doi: 10.1136/bmj.45555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.44 (0.31, 0) BMJ 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) BMJ 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) BMJ 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) BMJ 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) BMJ 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) 0.44 (0.31, 0) BMJ 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.44 (0.32, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) BMJ 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) 0.45 (0.33, 0) Exercise for lower limb osteoarthritis: systematic review incorporating trial sequential analysis and 0.43 (0.32, 0) 0.43 (0.32, 0)	Rooks	2006			0.43 (0.28, 0.
BMJ 0.45 (0.30, 0) BMJ 2013:347:75555 doi: 10.1136/bmj.75555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.46 (0.32, 0) BMJ 2013:347:75555 doi: 10.1136/bmj.75555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.45 (0.31, 0) BMJ 2013:347:75555 doi: 10.1136/bmj.75555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.44 (0.32, 0) BMJ 2013:347:75555 doi: 10.1136/bmj.75555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.44 (0.31, 0) BMJ 2013:347:75555 doi: 10.1136/bmj.75555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.44 (0.31, 0) BMJ 2013:347:75555 doi: 10.1136/bmj.75555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.44 (0.31, 0) BMJ 2013:347:75555 doi: 10.1136/bmj.75555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.44 (0.31, 0) BMJ 2013:347:75555 doi: 10.1136/bmj.75555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.44 (0.31, 0) BMJ 2013:347:75555 doi: 10.1136/bmj.75555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.44 (0.31, 0) BMJ 2013:347:75555 doi: 10.1136/bmj.75555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.44 (0.31, 0) BMJ 2013:347:35555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.45 (0.33, 0) BMJ 2013:347:35555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.45 (0.	DICT				0.44 (0.29, 0.
December 0.46 (0.31, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.3	RM				0.45 (0.30, 0.
BMJ 2013;347:5555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.45 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.32, 0) 0.45 (0.32, 0) 0.43 (0.32, 0) 0.43 (0.32, 0) 0.43 (0.32, 0) 0.43 (0.32, 0) 0.43 (0.32, 0) 0.43 (0.32, 0) 0.43 (0.32, 0) 0.43 (0.32, 0) 0.43 (0.32, 0) 0.43 (0.32, 0) 0.43 (0.32, 0) 0.43 (0.32, 0) 0.43 (0.32, 0) 0.43 (0.32, 0) 0.43 (0.32, 0)	DIVI			CrossMark clickforupters	0.46 (0.31, 0.
BMJ 2013.347.55555 doi: 10.1136/bmj.5555 (Published 20 September 2013) Page 1 of 13 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.45 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.32, 0 Exercise for lower limb osteoarthritis: systematic review incorporating trial sequential analysis and 0.46 (0.32, 0 0.45 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.32, 0					0.46 (0.32, 0.
RESEARCH 0.45 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.4	BM / 2012-247-15555 doi:	10 1126/bmi (5555 /Publieb	ed 20 September 2013)	Page 1 of 13	0.46 (0.32, 0.
RESEARCH 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.32, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.32, 0 0.45 (0.3	2010,041,0000 00.			T ago T of To	0.45 (0.31, 0.
RESEARCH 0.44 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.32, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.32, 0 0.45 (0.3					0.44 (0.31, 0.
RESEARCH 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.31, 0 0.43 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.32, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.3					0.44 (0.31, 0
RESEARCH 0.43 (0.30, 0 0.43 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.32, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.32, 0 0.45 (0.3			_		0.43 (0.30, 0
Exercise for lower limb osteoarthritis: systematic 0.43 (0.31, 0 0.44 (0.32, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.32, 0 0.45 (0.3			R	ESEARCH	0.43 (0.30, 0.
Exercise for lower limb osteoarthritis: systematic review incorporating trial sequential analysis and					0.43 (0.31, 0.
0.45 (0.33, 0 0.45 (0.33, 0<					0.44 (0.32, 0
Exercise for lower limb osteoarthritis: systematic0.45 (0.33, 0review incorporating trial sequential analysis and0.45 (0.33, 0					0.45 (0.33, 0.
Exercise for lower limb osteoarthritis: systematic 0.45 (0.33, 0 review incorporating trial sequential analysis and 0.43 (0.32, 0					0.45 (0.33, 0.
review incorporating trial sequential analysis and	Everciee	for lower li	imb ostooarthritie: ev	etomatic	0.45 (0.33, 0.
review incorporating trial sequential analysis and 0.43 (0.32, 0	LACICISE	ioi iowel li	inib Usievarininiis. Sy		0.45 (0.33, 0.
	review in	corporating	g trial seguential ana	lysis and	0.43 (0.32, 0

0.45 (0.34, 0.56)

0.47 (0.35, 0.59) 0.50 (0.38, 0.63) 0.53 (0.40, 0.66)

0.53 (0.40, 0.66)

0.53 (0.40, 0.66)

0.53 (0.40, 0.65)

0.52 (0.39, 0.65)

0.52 (0.39, 0.64) 0.51 (0.39, 0.63)

0.51 (0.38, 0.63)

0.50 (0.38, 0.62)

0.51 (0.39, 0.63)

network meta-analysis

OPEN ACCESS

Olalekan A Uthman assistant professor in applied research, systematic reviewer¹², Danielle A van der Windt professor of primary care epidemiology¹, Joanne L Jordan research information manager¹, Krysia S Dziedzic Arthritis Research UK professor of musculoskeletal therapies¹, Emma L Healey research fellow¹, George M Peat professor of clinical epidemiology¹, Nadine E Foster NIHR professor of musculoskeletal health in primary care

¹Arthritis Research UK Primary Care Centre, Keele University, Keele, Staffordshire ST5 5BG, UK; ²Warwick-Centre for Applied Health Research and Delivery (WCAHRD), Division of Health Sciences, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL UK





Research Centre

0

.25

.5

.75 1



"As of 2002 sufficient evidence had accumulated to show significant benefit of exercise over no exercise in patients with osteoarthritis, and further trials are unlikely to overturn this result."



What form of exercise is best?









Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Centre

c.barton@latrobe.edu.au





Osteoarthritis and Cartilage



Incremental clinical effectiveness and cost effectiveness of providing supervised physiotherapy in addition to usual medical care in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee: 2-year results of the MOA randomised controlled trial

J.H. Abbott † *, R. Wilson †, D. Pinto ‡, C.M. Chapple $\S,$ A.A. Wright ||, For the MOA Trial team a





Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Centre c.barton@latrobe.edu.au
@DrChrisBarton





Osteoarthritis and Cartilage



Incremental clinical effectiveness and cost effectiveness of providing supervised physiotherapy in addition to usual medical care in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee: 2-year results of the MOA randomised controlled trial

J.H. Abbott † *, R. Wilson †, D. Pinto ‡, C.M. Chapple $\S,$ A.A. Wright ||, For the MOA Trial team a









Does Australia have a problem?



Imaging referred for 22% of the time!



Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Centre



c.barton@latrobe.edu.au



The GLA:D[™] Australia Program

<u>**GLA:D</u> = <u>G**</u>ood <u>**L**</u>iving with osteo<u>A</u>rthritis from <u>**D**</u>enmark</u>

- Ensure you receive evidence-based education and exercise
- 2 education sessions about osteoarthritis and how to manage it
- Exercises for your hip and knees to improve joint function and confidence







THERAPEUTIC EXERCISE RELIEVES PAIN AND DOES NOT HARM KNEE CARTILAGE NOR TRIGGER INFLAMMATION

FIRST LINE TREATMENTS IN OSTEOARTHRITIS



THE BELIEF THAT THERAPEUTIC EXERCISE MAY **HARM** THE KNEE JOINT CARTILAGE IS STILL COMMON AMONG PEOPLE WITH KNEE OSTEOARTHRITIS AND HEALTH PROFESSIONALS TREATING THE CONDITION

CONTRARY TO THIS COMMON BELIEF...



CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

PATIENTS CAN BE REASSURED THAT THERAPEUTIC EXERCISE DOES **NOT** HARM ARTICULAR CARTILAGE



IF ANYTHING, THERAPEUTIC EXERCISE MAY Improve Articular Cartilage Health

AND...

HAS COMPELLING EVIDENCE FOR HELPING TO: PREVENT AT LEAST 35 CHRONIC CONDITIONS TREAT AT LEAST 26 CHRONIC CONDITIONS

Bricca et al. 2018, BJSM; Bricca et al. 2018, ACR; Skou et al. 2018, JOSPT



Normal Articular Cartilage





What about pain during exercise?

Osteoarthritis and Cartilage



Brief Report

Pain trajectory and exercise-induced pain flares during 8 weeks of neuromuscular exercise in individuals with knee and hip pain

L.F. Sandal^{*}, E.M. Roos, S.J. Bøgesvang, J.B. Thorlund Department of Sports Science and Clinical Biomechanics, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

















7 KEY EXERCISE THERAPY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR HIP AND KNEE OSTEOARTHRITIS

Encourage an additional 1-2 sessions per week to optimize outcomes





Provide exercises tailored and targeted to individual patient needs and preferences



Consider aquatic exercise in patients who are unable to complete land based exercise



Provide a minimum of 12 supervised exercise sessions (30-60 minutes per session) over six weeks (i.e. 2 sessions per week)



GLA:D

Extending programs to a minimum of 12 weeks to optimize outcomes

(e.g. strength)



Include patient education and consider booster sessions to enhance adherence and progression

Provide education and reassurance about managing potential pain flares and inflammation, including how to modify exercise and physical activity



Designed by @fisioterapianet





2-3 sets, 10-15 repetitions 30-60 second breaks





Lower extremity muscle strength



Knee-over-foot position



Functional exercises



b





Neuromuscular Exercise (NEMEX)

http://nemex.trekeducation.org/





250+ sites in Australia







Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Centre

UNIVERSITY





78 y/o self referrer to $GLA:D^{TM}$ Australia

"This will be a waste of time unless you can get me back to running a half marathon"

Exercises – home gym with weights 3 x week, cycling instead of running













Outcomes after GLA: D^{TM}

Outcome	Baseline	3 months
Average Pain /10	70	26
Worst pain /10	80	70
30s chair stand test	14	16
40m fast walk test	21.2	20.2









Outcomes after GLA: D^{TM}

Outcome	Baseline	3 months
Average Pain /10	70	26
Worst pain /10	80	70
30s chair stand test	14	16
40m fast walk test	21.2	20.2
Joint QOL /100	56	56
Beliefs - It's terrible, and I think it's never going to get any better!	Agree	Disagree





Outcomes after GLA:DTM

- GLA:D helped address impairments, symptoms, and negative beliefs etc.
- Began to improve muscle capacity + confidence to try running
- Did GLA:D[™] alone get him back to running?
- NO it didn't!he flared up again......
- Exercise-therapy progressed
 (strength +power)
- 2. Gait assessment + retraining
- 3. Sensible return to running plan







Manage 'RISK' in the injured runner

R educe overall load

mprove capacity to attenuate load

ARTICLE IN PRESS
Physical Therapy in Sport xxx (2017) 1–5
Contents lists available at ScienceDirect
Physical Therapy in Sport
SEVIER
journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/ptsp

Managing RISK when treating the injured runner with running retraining, load management and exercise therapy

S hift the load away from painful/pathological tissue

K eep adapting to the capacity and goals of the runner



Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Centre

c.barton@latrobe.edu.au@DrChrisBarton

Running mechanics/strategies







Foot strike

COM further distance from foot increases ground reaction force

Strategies:

Increase cadence to shorten stride length "shorter faster steps"

<u>Mid stance</u> -increase knee flexion increase knee loads

Strategies

"run up tall" "tuck bottom under"

c.barton@latrobe.edu.au

@DrChrisBarton













Other strategies used

- 1. Modified GLA:D exercises for home
 - Added speed, resistance, power
 - Hip extensors faster, use chair instead of ball, dumbbells on stomach
 - Planks for trunk strength
- 2. Walk/run.....gradual increase to 5km
- 3. Continued education for flare ups....pain flares and fluctuations common in OA, will settle







5 parkruns Total

Visit Berwick Springs results page View stats for all parkruns by this parkrunner Most recently ran in VM75-79 age category

Summary Stats						
	Fastest	Average (mean)	Slowest			
Time	32:31	33:26	34:58			
Age Grading	63.15 %	61.44 %	58.72 %			
Overall Position	78	96.80	124			
Gender Position	48	69.00	95			

Best Annual Achievements						
Year 🛰	Best Time 🔺	Best Age Grading 🛰				
2018	00:32:57	62.32%				
2019	00:32:31	63.15%				



Run Date 🛰	Run Number 👞	Pos 🛰	Time 🛰	Age Grade 🛰	PB? 🛰
26/01/2019	282	124	32:31	63.15%	PB
12/01/2019	280	81	33:15	61.75%	
29/12/2018	277	78	32:57	62.32%	PB
15/12/2018	275	99	33:31	61.26%	PB
08/12/2018	274	102	34:58	58.72%	







Running again with knee osteoarthritis at 79





Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Centre

Running again with knee osteoarthritis at 79 years old

I have previously contributed to the topic of running with osteoarthritis in many posts on other platforms – <u>here</u>, <u>here</u> and <u>here</u>.

In short, it is a myth that you cannot run with knee osteoarthritis. Some facts:



Running courses

LOCATION	DATE	
Newcastle (Warners Bay)	September 7-8	
Sydney (Balmain)	September 21-22	RUNNING



Learn how to critically appraise literature







TAKE HOMES

Todays slides: http://bit.ly/barton-act

1. EDUCATION ++++

- 2. Address pain-related fear
- 3. Tailor exercise to individual needs
- 4. EDUCATION you and your patients



GLA:D: <u>GLA:D: www.gladaustralia.com.au</u> Patellofemoral pain: <u>www.patellofemoral.trekeducation.org</u> Exercise prescription: <u>www.exercise.trekeducation.org</u> Clinic + Running courses: <u>www.completesportscare.com.au</u>



